Dependence of spin susceptibility of a two-dimensional electron system on the valley degree of freedom
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We report measurements of the spin susceptibility, \( \chi \), in an AlAs two-dimensional electron system where, via the application of in-plane stress, we transfer electrons from one conduction-band valley to another \((g_v = 2)\), the measured \( g^* m^* \) is smaller than when only one valley is occupied \((g_v = 1)\). This observation counters the common assumption that a two-valley two-dimensional system is effectively more dilute than a single-valley system because of its smaller Fermi energy.

PACS numbers: 71.70.Fk, 73.43.Qt, 73.50.-h, 71.70.-d

An unsettled question regarding the physics of a dilute, two-dimensional electron system (2DES) concerns the behavior of its spin susceptibility, \( \chi = (\mu_B m_0 / 2\pi \hbar^2) (g_v g^* m^*) \), where \( \mu_B \) is the Bohr magneton and \( \hbar \) the Planck constant, \( g_v \) is the valley degeneracy, and \( m^* \) and \( g^* \) are the electron effective mass (in units of free electron mass \( m_0 \)) and g-factor, respectively. As the 2DES density is lowered, the Coulomb energy of the system dominates over its kinetic energy. A measure of the diluteness is the \( r_s \) parameter, the inter-electron spacing measured in units of the effective Bohr radius, or equivalently, the Coulomb energy measured in units of the kinetic (Fermi) energy. Theory predicts that \( g^* m^* \) increases with increasing \( r_s \) and eventually diverges above a critical \( r_s \), where the 2D electrons attain a ferromagnetic ground state. Experimentally, although there is yet no consensus as to the divergence of \( g^* m^* \), most measurements in dilute 2DES show an increasing \( g^* m^* \) with \( r_s \). In this Letter, we report measurements of \( g^* m^* \) in an AlAs 2DES where we change the valley occupation via the application of uniaxial in-plane stress. The data add an interesting twist to this problem as they reveal that, at a fixed density, \( g^* m^* \) depends on the valley degree of freedom in an unexpected manner: compared to \( g^* m^* \) for a single-valley system, \( g^* m^* \) is smaller when two valleys are occupied. This observation appears to be at odds with the widely made assumption that a two-valley system is effectively more dilute than its single-valley counterpart because of its smaller Fermi energy.

Our samples contain 2D electrons confined to a modulation doped, 11 nm-wide, AlAs quantum well grown on a GaAs (001) substrate using molecular beam epitaxy. The quantum well is flanked by AlAs/AlGaAs barrier layers. Using metal electrodes (gates) deposited on the front and back sides of the sample and illumination, we can vary the 2DES density, \( n \), between \( 2.9 \times 10^{15} \) and \( 7.4 \times 10^{15} \) m\(^{-2}\). In this density range, the low temperature electron mobility is about \( 20 \) m\(^2\)/Vs. The resistance is measured using a lock-in amplifier at 0.3K on a Hall bar sample aligned with the [100] crystal direction. The sample is mounted on a tilting stage so that its orientation with respect to the applied magnetic field can be varied. We studied three samples from two different wafers. Here we present data from one sample; the measurements on other samples corroborate the reported results.

We first show how valley occupancy can be tuned in our samples. In bulk AlAs, conduction band minima (or valleys) occur at the six equivalent X-points of the Brillouin zone. The constant energy surface consists of six half-ellipsoids (three full ellipsoids in the first Brillouin zone), with their major axes along one of the \( <100> \) directions; here we designate these valleys by the direction of their major axes. These valleys are highly anisotropic with longitudinal and transverse effective mass \( \approx 1 \) and \( 0.2 \), respectively. In an AlAs quantum well with width \( \approx 5 \) nm, grown on a GaAs (001) substrate, biaxial compression due to the lattice mismatch between AlAs and GaAs raises the energy of the [001] valley so that only the [100] and [010] valleys, with their major axes lying in the plane, are occupied. This is the case for our samples. In our experiments, we apply additional, uniaxial compression along the [100] direction to transfer electrons from the [010] valley to the [100] valley while the total 2D electron density remains constant. We measure and compare \( g^* m^* \) for the two cases where all the electrons are either in the [100] valley \((g_v = 1)\) or are distributed equally between the [100] and [010] valleys \((g_v = 2)\). Note that in our work, \( g_v = 2 \) refers strictly to an equal electron concentration in the two valleys.

We apply stress to the sample by gluing it on the side of a commercial piezoelectric (piezo) stack actuator with the sample’s [100] crystal direction aligned to the poling direction of the piezo. Under a positive applied voltage bias, the piezo stack expands along the poling direction and shrinks in the perpendicular directions. This deformation and the resultant stress are transmitted to the sample through the glue. Using this technique, we can achieve a strain range of \( 4.7 \times 10^{-4} \) which, for \( n < 4.7 \times 10^{15} \) m\(^{-2}\), is large enough to transfer all the
electrons into a single valley \[12\]. Since the maximum valley splitting (3 meV) is much smaller than the \(\sim 150\) meV conduction band offset between the AlAs well and the barriers, we expect a negligible strain-induced change (\(<1\%\)) in \(n\), consistent with our transport data in perpendicular magnetic fields. Using a calibrated, metal strain gauge glued to the opposite side of the piezo, we monitor the applied strain with a relative accuracy of 5%.

In our experiments, we carefully analyze the frequency composition of the Shubnikov-de Hass oscillations as a function of strain. From such data, we determine the electron densities in the valleys. Additionally, we monitor the dependence of sample’s resistance on strain (piezoresistance) at zero and finite magnetic fields. The saturation of the piezoresistance at large (negative) strains signals the onset of the \([010]\) valley depopulation; this depopulation is confirmed by the Shubnikov-de Haas data. From such measurements, we know, for example, that for the data shown in Fig. 1, electrons occupy the \([100]\) and \([010]\) valleys equally in the second trace from bottom (bold), and that for the top two traces (bold) which were taken at strain values of \(-4.3\) and \(-3.9 \times 10^{-3}\), only the \([100]\) valley is occupied (note that these two traces completely overlap in the entire field range).

We employ two commonly used methods to measure \(g^*m^*\). Both involve the application of a magnetic field, \(B\), but each determines \(g^*m^*\) at a different degree of spin polarization. In the first technique, we measure the magneto-resistance (MR) of the sample as a function of \(B\) applied strictly in the plane of the 2DES (Fig. 1). With increasing \(B\), the Zeeman splitting \(E_Z = g^*\mu_B B\) between the electrons with oppositely polarized spins also increases and, at certain field \((B_p)\), equals the Fermi energy, \(E_F\). Beyond \(B_p\), the 2DES becomes fully spin-polarized. At \(B_p\), we have:

\[
g^*m^* = \frac{(2\pi\hbar^2/m_0\mu_B)(n/g_eB_p)}{150}. \tag{1}
\]

Because of the depopulation of one of the spin subbands at \(B_p\), the electron scattering rate changes, resulting in a kink in the MR trace \([2]\).

We show examples of such traces for \(n = 2.85 \times 10^{15} \text{ m}^{-2}\) in Fig. 1. Each trace corresponds to a different amount of strain, between \(-4.3 \times 10^{-4}\) and \(3.9 \times 10^{-5}\), applied along \([100]\). In all traces, \(B\) is also applied along \([100]\). A pronounced kink is observed in all the traces. The position of the kink is the highest for the top two traces, where all the electrons occupy the \([100]\) valley. As the compression is decreased and the electrons are transferred to the \([010]\) valley, the kink moves to lower fields. The kink’s field position is the smallest for the second trace from bottom; for this trace the magnitude of strain is the smallest, and the two \([100]\) and \([010]\) valleys have equal populations. The lowest trace corresponds to positive (tensile) strain along \([100]\); we again have two unequally populated valleys, but now the \([010]\) valley has a larger population than the \([100]\) valley. For this trace the kink moves back to a slightly higher field.

Associating the kink position with the full spin polarization field \(B_p\), the above behavior can be qualitatively understood from Eq. (1) and the schematic energy diagrams shown in Fig. 1. Given a fixed \(n\), the Fermi energy of the system is twice larger when all the electrons are in one valley \((g_e = 1)\) compared to when they are distributed equally between the two valleys \((g_e = 2)\). If \(g^*m^*\) remained constant, we would thus expect a twice smaller \(B_p\) in the case of \(g_e = 2\). This simple, non-interacting picture, however, is not qualitatively consistent with the experimental data, which show a reduction of only about 34% in the kink position. According to Eq. (1), this observation implies that \(g^*m^*\) for \(g_e = 2\) is smaller than for \(g_e = 1\).
are the same. This indicates that the \([100]\) and \([010]\) valleys are equally occupied at \(E\), and similarly at all even \(n\) describing (bottom panels) for (a) \(g_v = 1\) and (b) \(g_v = 2\) AlAs 2DES with \(n = 4.32 \times 10^{13} \text{ m}^{-2}\). \(E^{(1)}\) and \(E^{(2)}\) are the Landau energy levels for the \([100]\) and \([010]\) valleys, respectively.

Before discussing the above observation in detail, we present our measurements of \(g^* m^*\) using a second technique, the coincidence method \(\ddagger\), where we no longer restrict \(B\) to the plane of the 2DES. With a non-zero \(B_\perp\) (out of plane component of \(B\)), Landau levels (LLs), with energy separation equal to the cyclotron energy \(E_c = \hbar e B_\perp/(m^* m_0)\), form. Each LL is further split by \(E_Z\), which is a function of total \(B\). In a typical measurement, we tilt \(B\) by an angle \(\theta\) from the 2DES such that the in-plane component of \(B\) is along the \([100]\) direction, and sweep the magnetic field. At certain \(\theta\) and \(B\), the energy levels of oppositely spin-polarized electrons coincide at the 2DES Fermi energy. If this “coincidence” occurs when electrons occupy an integer number of LLs (integer filling factor \(\nu\)), the MR minimum will rise \(\ddagger\). At such coincidence, we have \(g^* m^* = 2 N \cos(\theta)\), where the integer \(N\) can be deduced from consecutive coincidences (in \(\theta\)) at a fixed \(\nu\). We show an example of such MR data for a case with \(n = 4.32 \times 10^{13} \text{ m}^{-2}\) and all the electrons are in the \([100]\) valley \((g_v = 1)\) in the top panel of Fig. 2(a). The corresponding energy level fan diagram is shown in the bottom panel. At all odd \(\nu > 7\) (and similarly at all even \(\nu > 6\)), \(\theta\) of the coincidences are the same. This indicates that \(g^* m^*\) is independent of \(B\) in this high filling range. From the data shown in Fig. 2(a), we deduce \(g^* m^* = 2.8\).

The data shown in top panel of Fig. 2(b) were taken for the same \(n\) as in the (a) panels, but at zero strain so that the \([100]\) and \([010]\) valleys are equally occupied at \(B = 0\). When both valleys are occupied, the dependence of MR on \(\theta\) becomes more complicated, with coincidence angles forming a “diamond” pattern with periodicity of four in \(\nu\) \(\ddagger\). This dependence of MR can be explained by an energy fan diagram (lower panel of Fig. 2(b)) in which \(E_v\) (energy splitting between valleys) and \(E_c\) increase linearly with \(B_\perp\), and \(E_Z\) increases linearly with total \(B\) and is the same for both valleys \(\ddagger\). By matching the values of coincidence angles predicted by the energy fan diagram to the the experimental data, we extract values for both \(g^* m^*\) and \(E_c\). The coincidences at all odd \(\nu > 6\) occur at the same \(\theta\) in data of Fig. 2(b), implying that \(g^* m^*\) is independent of \(B\) for high fillings in the \(g_v = 2\) case also. From the data of Fig. 2(b), we find \(g^* m^* = 2.2\), again smaller than 2.8 that we find for the case of \(g_v = 1\) for the same density.

Figure 3 captures the highlight of our study. It summarizes the results of measurements for the cases where all the electrons occupy the \([100]\) valley or are distributed equally between the \([100]\) and \([010]\) valleys. Plotted are the values of \(g^* m^*\) deduced from both the coincidence method, and the kink positions in the parallel MR data and using Eq. (1) \(\ddagger\). Since the two methods measure \(g^* m^*\) at very different degrees of spin polarizations - as low as 20% in the coincidence method, and 100% in the \(B_\parallel\) method - the overlap of \(g^* m^*\) from these methods asserts that there is negligible non-linearity in spin polarization, implying a nearly constant \(g^* m^*\) as a function of spin polarization \(\ddagger\). To check whether the values of \(g^* m^*\) are isotropic, we repeated both coincidence and \(B_\parallel\) measurements while applying the in-plane component of the magnetic field along the \([010]\) rather than \([100]\) direction. The data shown in Fig. 3 include results from both field orientations, evincing that \(g^* m^*\) is indeed isotropic.

The results presented in Fig. 3 are puzzling. In an independent electron picture, \(g^* m^*\) should not depend on the 2D density or the valley degeneracy, clearly in disagreement with the experimental data shown here. Electron-electron interaction, on the other hand, is known to increase \(g^* m^*\) as the density is lowered and the system becomes more dilute \(\ddagger\). This trend is indeed seen in the data of Fig. 3 for both \(g_v = 1\) and \(g_v = 2\) \(\ddagger\). At any given density, however, the measured \(g^* m^*\) for the \(g_v = 1\) case is larger than \(g^* m^*\) for \(g_v = 2\). This is surprising since the \(g_v = 2\) system is expected to be more “dilute” because of its smaller Fermi energy.

In view of our results, it is useful to ask what the proper definition of the \(r_v\) parameter for a two-valley system is. This parameter is commonly defined as the ratio of the Coloumb to kinetic (Fermi) energy, \((e^2/4\pi\epsilon r_v)/E_F\), where \(r\) is the average inter-electron distance. For a one-valley system, \(r_v\) is well defined, and is equal to \((1/a_B)(1/\pi n)^{1/2}\), where \(a_B\) is the effective Bohr radius. For a two-valley system, there appears to be no clear consensus on the definition of \(r_v\) \(\ddagger\). In all reported definitions, however, it is assumed that, at a fixed density, the two-valley system is equally or more dilute than...
The resistance oscillates stant, however, provide evidence against this possibility:ing that m∗ deduced from the coincidence measurement is less than 4%.

the single-valley system. For example, in a model where intervalley interaction is ignored, r⊥ for the two-valley system is larger by a factor of \( \sqrt{2} \) (see for example, Ref. [5]). It is clear from our data, that any definition of r⊥ that assumes the two-valley system is equally or more dilute than the one-valley system will be in apparent disagreement with our data.

Can our results be explained by some unusual properties of 2DESs in AlAs? While we cannot rule out subtle or unknown phenomena, we remark on three possibilities. First, m∗ can depend on valley population if the energy dispersion is non-parabolic. Our measurements [11] of the sample resistance as a function of strain (valley population), while n and B⊥ (i.e., \( \nu \)) are kept constant, however, provide evidence against this possibility: The resistance oscillates periodically with strain, implying that m∗ does not vary with valley occupancy. Second, it is possible that when \( g_v = 2 \), the lower Fermi energy of the system leads to its being more susceptible to disorder [15]. While the role of disorder in modifying g∗m∗ is not fully known, recent calculations [16] indicate an increase in m∗ when disorder is present; opposite to our observation of a smaller g∗m∗ for \( g_v = 2 \). Third, the Fermi contours in our AlAs 2DES are highly anisotropic with a longitudinal to transverse effective mass ratio of approximately 5:1, implying anisotropic Bohr radius for electrons in a given valley. A tantalizing possibility might be that the anomalous behavior we observe is an indication of anisotropic interaction.
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[12] Here we refer to the shear strain, defined as \( \Delta L_x/L_x - \Delta L_y/L_y \), where \( L_x \) and \( L_y \) are the sample dimensions along [100] and [010], respectively. The splitting between the <100> valleys is proportional to this strain.
[14] As shown by Y. P. Shkolnikov et al. [Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 226805 (2002)] the valley degeneracy is lifted in the presence of \( B_z \). We find, however, that when strain is zero, valley splitting at all \( B_\parallel \) is at its minimum value.
[16] One source for non-linearity of g∗m∗ stems from an increase of m∗ when a 2DES with finite layer thickness is placed in a large parallel \( B \) [T. Tutuc et al., Phys. Rev. B 67, 241309 (2003)]. Given the small layer thickness of our 2DES, we expect this increase to be unimportant in our system. This conclusion is further corroborated by our measurements. Mass enhancement due to finite-layer thickness depends on the band mass perpendicular to the orientation of \( B \). Therefore, we would expect a different g∗m∗ value when the in-plane field is applied along the major axis of the Fermi contour as opposed to its minor axis; this is contrary to our observation of an isotropic g∗m∗ (Fig. 3).
[17] In a previous study of similar AlAs 2DESs but without controlling the valley occupation, E. P. De Poortere et al. [Phys. Rev. B 66, 161308 (2002)] concluded that g∗m∗ is nearly independent of density. An assumption was made that, at \( B_\parallel \), all electrons occupy only one valley, regardless of the valley occupation at \( B_\parallel = 0 \). Data presented here reveal that this assumption was only justified in a narrow range of low densities, for which \( g_v = 1 \) and valley splitting is significantly larger than \( E_F \).
[18] As is evident from the data of Fig. 1, the mobility of the 2DES at \( B_\parallel = 0 \) is smaller when \( g_v = 1 \). This is not because of larger disorder, but rather a consequence of the geometry of our measurement. Mobility of electrons is determined by the transport mass. When all the electrons are in the [100] valley, transport mass along [100] (the measurement direction) is just the longitudinal effective mass, whose larger value leads to the smaller observed

**FIG. 3:** The product g∗m∗ in units of \( g_v m_0 \) (product of band mass and band g-factor) determined from both coincidence and \( B_\parallel \) measurements as a function of \( n \). Data are shown for when all electrons occupy the [100] valley (\( g_v = 1 \), open symbols; orientation of the in-plane component of \( B \) along [100] and [010] is indicated by x and y, respectively), or are distributed equally between [100] and [010] valleys (\( g_v = 2 \), closed symbols). The ranges of possible g∗m∗ from the parallel field measurements (i.e., \( B_{\parallel 1}, B_{\parallel 2} \)) are shown as shaded bands. Uncertainty of g∗m∗ deduced from the coincidence measurement is less than 4%.
mobility.