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1 Abstract

This note extends the analysis of incremental PageRank in [B. Bahmani, A. Chowdhury, and A. Goel. Fast Incremental and Personalized PageRank. VLDB 2011]. In that work, the authors prove a running time of $O\left(\frac{R}{\epsilon^2} \ln(m)\right)$ to keep PageRank updated over $m$ edge arrivals in a graph with $n$ nodes when the algorithm stores $R$ random walks per node and the PageRank teleport probability is $\epsilon$. To prove this running time, they assume that edges arrive in a random order, and leave it to future work to extend their running time guarantees to adversarial edge arrival. In this note, we show that the random edge order assumption is necessary by exhibiting a graph and adversarial edge arrival order in which the running time is $\Omega\left(\frac{Rn}{\epsilon^2} \ln\left(\frac{1}{1-\epsilon}\right)\right)$.

2 Introduction

In [1], Bahmani, Chowdhury, and Goel propose a method of keeping an approximation to PageRank updated as edges from a graph arrive online. They use the Monte Carlo method of computing PageRank [2]. In this method, we start at each node in the graph and take a random walk. After each step of a random walk, we terminate the walk with probability $\epsilon$, the teleport probability, and call it complete. With the remaining probability $1-\epsilon$ the walk is incomplete and we continue the walk. If we reach a node $u$ with outdegree 0 the walk stops, but in this case the walk will continue if an edge from $u$ arrives. To reduce variance, we take $R$ random walks per node, where $R$ might be a constant or $\log(n)$, depending on the accuracy required. When a new edge $(u, v)$ is added to the graph, we consider revising each walk which passed through $u$, since it perhaps should have used this new edge. The probability that the walk should have used this new edge is $\frac{1}{d(u)}$ were $d(u)$ is the new outdegree of $u$. We flip a
biased coin for each walk through \( u \), and with probability \( \frac{1}{n(u)} \) we throw away the remainder of the walk and generate a new remainder starting with \( v \). To make sure that the length of each complete walk is geometrically distributed with expected length \( \frac{1}{Z} \), we preserve the length of the original walk when we generate a new remainder.

When the graph is chosen by an adversary but edges arrive in a random order, Bahmani, Chowdhury, and Goel \[ \text{(1)} \] prove that the number of walks which need to be updated over \( m \) edge arrivals is \( \frac{nR}{2} \ln(m) \) where \( n \) is the number of vertices, \( R \) is the number of stored walks per vertex, and \( \epsilon \) is the teleport probability. They state that it would be an interesting result to extend their running time guarantees to adversarial edge arrival. This motivates the following question: does their algorithm require at most \( \frac{nR}{2} \ln(m) \) segment updates for an adversarially chosen edge order? Our contribution is answering this question in the negative.

### 3 Result and Theory

**Theorem 1.** There exists a graph and edge order such that the total number of walk segments updated as the edges arrive is

\[
\Omega \left( R n^{1+\log_2(1-\epsilon)} \right).
\]

For example if \( \epsilon = 0.2 \) the number of updates is \( \Omega \left( R n^{1.26} \right) \). Hence the PageRank algorithm in \[ \text{(1)} \] does not run in time \( O(Rn \log(m)) \) in the adversarial graph and adversarial edge model.

**Proof.** For any power of two \( n \), we describe how to construct a graph on \( 2n - 1 \) nodes. The case \( n = 16 \) is shown in figure \[ \text{II} \] with blue labels indicating the order of edge arrival. There is a top row of \( n \) nodes each connected to the root of a balanced binary tree of \( n - 1 \) nodes. The top row of edges arrives first, creating \( nR \) walk segments to the root of the binary tree. The edges in the tree arrive in a depth-first traversal of the binary tree starting at the root, so pagerank is funneled toward a leaf before being diluted among the branches. The left edge leaving each vertex arrives before the right edge, so when the left edge leaving a vertex \( u \) arrives, any incomplete walk through \( u \) will need to be updated. When the right edge leaving a vertex \( u \) arrives, any incomplete walk will need to be updated with probability \( \frac{1}{2} \). Consider the probability that a walk from the root needs to be updated when an edge \( (u, v) \) arrives to a node \( u \) in row \( i \). First of all the walk needs to have length at least \( i \) which happens with probability \( (1 - \epsilon)^{i} \). Now as we trace the unique path from the root to \( u \), the probability that a walk follows this path is the probability that it takes the correct edge (right or left) leaving each vertex. Because the left edge to each vertex on any path from the root arrive before the right edge, the walk is guaranteed to follow the path to \( u \) at left edges, while at right edges it has probability \( \frac{1}{2} \) of going towards \( u \). Thus if there are \( k \) left edges on the path and
Figure 1: The case $n = 16$ of our graph. Edges are labeled with the order in which they arrive.

For $i - k$ right edges, the probability that a walk of length at least $i$ will reach $u$ is $\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k$. Since there are $nR$ paths from top nodes which could potentially reach $u$, the expected number of paths which need to be updated when edge $(u, v)$ arrives is

$$nR(1 - \epsilon)^i \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k.$$

In the $i$th row, there are $\binom{i}{k}$ nodes which can be reached via $k$ right branches and $i - k$ left branches from the root. Thus the total expected number of path segments updated due to edges in the $i$th row is

$$nR(1 - \epsilon)^i \sum_{k=0}^{i} \binom{i}{k} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k = nR \left(\frac{3}{2}(1 - \epsilon)\right)^i$$

after applying the binomial theorem. There are $\lg(n)$ rows in the tree, so the
total number of path segments updated is

\[ Rn \sum_{i=0}^{\log(n)-1} \left( \frac{3}{2} (1 - \epsilon) \right)^i = Rn \left( \frac{3}{2} (1 - \epsilon) \right)^{\log(n) - 1} \]

and the result follows.
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