Exact solution of the mixed-spin Ising model on a decorated square lattice with two different kinds of decorating spins on horizontal and vertical bonds
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The mixed-spin-(1/2, S_B, S_C) Ising model on a decorated square lattice with two different kinds of decorating spins S_B and S_C (S_B ≠ S_C) placed on its horizontal and vertical bonds, respectively, is exactly solved by establishing a precise mapping relationship with the corresponding spin-1/2 Ising model on an anisotropic square (rectangular) lattice. The effect of uniaxial single-ion anisotropy acting on both types of decorating spins S_B and S_C is examined in particular. If decorating spins S_B and S_C are integer and half-odd-integer, respectively, or if the reverse is the case, the model under investigation displays a very peculiar critical behavior beared on the spontaneously ordered 'quasi-1D' spin system, which appears as a result of the single-ion anisotropy strengthening. We have found convincing evidence that this remarkable spontaneous ordering virtually arises even though all integer-valued decorating spins tend towards their 'non-magnetic' spin state S = 0 and the system becomes disordered only upon further increase of the single-ion anisotropy. The single-ion anisotropy parameter is also at an origin of various temperature dependences of the total magnetization when imposing the pure ferrimagnetic or the mixed ferro-ferrimagnetic character of the spin arrangement.
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I. INTRODUCTION

One of the most fascinating and challenging topics in equilibrium statistical physics are phase transitions and critical phenomena of rigorously solvable interacting many-body systems [1]. The planar Ising model rep-resents perhaps the simplest lattice-statistical model for which a complete exact solution is known since Onsager's famous solution [2] and the model simultaneously undergoes a non-trivial phase transition. Although the spin-1/2 Ising model on two-dimensional (2D) lattices with non-crossing bonds is in principle exactly soluble problem within the Pfaffian method [3], its precise treatment usually requires an application of sophisticated mathematical methods, which consequently lead to considerable difficulties when applying them to more complex models describing spin systems with interactions beyond nearest neighbors [4], frustrated spin systems [5], or higher-spin models with or without single-ion anisotropy and bi-quadratic interactions [1]. It is noteworthy, however, that exact solutions of the spin-1/2 Ising model have already been reported on several Archimedean lattices (square [2], triangular and honeycomb [7], kagomé [8], extended kagomé [6], bathroom-tile [10], orthogonal-dimer [11] and ruby [12] lattice) and more recently, also on a variety of more complex irregular lattices such as union jack [13], pentagonal [14], square-kagomé [15], as well as, two topologically different square-hexagonal [16] lattices. An importance of the rigorously solved 2D Ising lattices can be viewed in providing guidance on the scaling and universality hypotheses [17] and moreover, these exact results provide very valuable information about an accuracy of different approximative theories used to study spin systems where the rigorous treatment is inapplicable. Even though the Ising model has been originally designed for describing essential features of insulating magnetic materials [18], throughout the years various modifications of this model have found rich applications in seemingly diverse research areas [19].

Over the last few decades, the mixed-spin Ising models have attracted a great deal of research interest on behalf of much richer critical behavior they display compared with their single-spin counterparts. Actually, the mixed-spin Ising models are often convenient candidates for displaying tricritical phenomenon or other complicated change of an usual critical point to a multicritical point. Despite much efforts, there are only few exactly solvable mixed-spin Ising models, yet. Using the generalized decoration-iteration and star-triangle mapping transformations, the mixed spin-(1/2, S) Ising model on the honeycomb, diced and several decorated planar lattices have exactly been treated by Fisher and Yamada many years ago [20]. Notice furthermore that an extension of the generalized mapping transformations enabled to account also for the single-ion anisotropy effect. The effect of uniaxial and biaxial single-ion anisotropies has been subsequently exactly examined in the mixed-spin Ising models on three-coordinated honeycomb [21] and bathroom-tile [22] lattices, diced lattice [23] and some decorated lattices [24, 25]. To the best of our knowledge, these are the only mixed-spin planar Ising models with generally known exact solutions except several mixed-spin Ising models on the Bethe lattices studied within
the framework of exact recursion relations [26]. Among the striking models for which a precise solution is restricted to a certain subspace of interaction parameters only, one should further mention the mixed-spin Ising model on the union jack (centered square) lattice, which can be mapped onto a symmetric (zero-field) eight-vertex model with continuously varying critical exponents [27].

Exactly solvable Ising models on 2D decorated lattices, the bonds of which are decorated in various fashion by additional spins, are therefore of particular research interest (see Ref. [28] and references cited therein). In the class of exactly solved decorated Ising models belong the original ferrimagnetic model introduced by Syozi and Nakano [29], partly [30] and multiply [31] decorated models showing reentrant phase transitions, ANNNI models [32], diluted models of ferromagnetism [33], decorated models with classical \( \nu \)-dimensional vector spins [34], Fisher’s superexchange models and its numerous variants [35], as well as, the models with higher decorating spins [24]. It is worthwhile to remark that rigorous solutions of these models have furnished answers to questions interesting both from the academic point of view (scaling and universality hypotheses, reentrant phase transitions), as well as, from the experimental point of view (dilution, technological applications of ferrimagnets). The vast potential of ferrimagnets with respect to technological applications has also stimulated exploration of the effect of single-ion anisotropy upon ferrimagnetic features of the mixed-spin-(1/2, \( S \)) Ising models on the wholly [20] and partly [27] decorated lattices.

Recently, Kaneyoshi [36] has proposed another notable example of the decorated Ising model on a square lattice the horizontal and vertical bonds of which are occupied by two different kinds of decorating spins. Up to now, this remarkable model system has been studied using the approach based on the differential operator technique [37], whereas an accuracy of obtained results determined the Bethe-Peierls-Weiss approximation used for the undecorated lattice [36]. Therefore, the main aim of the present work is to extend the class of exactly solved Ising models by providing an accurate solution for this mixed spin-(1/2, \( S_B, S_C \)) Ising model on the square lattice with two different kinds of decorating spins \( S_B \) and \( S_C (S_B \neq S_C) \). Exact results for the system under consideration are obtained by applying the generalized decoration-iteration transformation [28] establishing an exact mapping correspondence with an effective spin-1/2 Ising model on the anisotropic square (rectangular) lattice. Owing to this fact, the known exact solution of the latter spin-1/2 Ising model on the rectangular lattice [2] straightforwardly enables to acquire exact results for the former decorated mixed-spin model. Within the framework of this exact method, we will concentrate our attention first of all to the influence of the single-ion anisotropy on the critical behavior and phase diagrams. Besides, temperature dependences of the total magnetization will be also particularly examined.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Section II the detailed description of the considered model system is presented in the first instance. Then, some details of the mapping method will be clarified along with the derivation of exact expressions for the magnetization and critical temperatures. The most interesting results are presented and detailed discussed in Section III for two particular sets of the quantum spin numbers (\( S_A, S_B, S_C \) = (1/2, 1, 3/2) and (1/2, 2, 3/2). Finally, some concluding remarks are mentioned in Section IV.

\[ H = J_{AB} \sum_{(i,j) \in \text{horiz.}} S_i^A S_j^B + J_{AC} \sum_{(i,k) \in \text{vert.}} S_i^A S_k^C - D_B \sum_{j=1}^{N} (S_j^B)^2 - D_C \sum_{k=1}^{N} (S_k^C)^2, \]  

(1)

where \( S_i^A = \pm \frac{1}{2}, S_i^B = -S_B, -S_B + 1, \ldots, S_B \) and \( S_k^C = -S_C, -S_C + 1, \ldots, S_C \) denote three different kinds of Ising spins differing in value, their superscript determines the sublattice to which spins belong, whereas their subscript specifies lattice points where the spins are placed. The first two summations are carried out

![FIG. 1: A cross-section of the three sublattice (ternary) mixed-spin Ising model on the decorated square lattice. Open circles denote lattice positions of the spin-1/2 atoms (sublattice A), while black and hatched circles label lattice positions of the decorating spin-1/2 atoms (sublattice B) and the spin-3/2 atoms (sublattice C), respectively.](image)
over the nearest-neighbor A–B and A–C spin pairs, respectively, and the last two summations are taken over all decorating B and C sites. Accordingly, the parameters \( J_{AB} \) and \( J_{AC} \) label pairwise exchange interactions between the nearest-neighbor A–B and A–C spin pairs, while the single-spin parameters \( D_B \) and \( D_C \) measure a strength of the uniaxial single-ion anisotropy acting on decorating spins \( S_B \) and \( S_C \), respectively. The essence of both single-ion anisotropy parameters \( D_B \) and \( D_C \) lies in an uniaxial magnetic anisotropy, which comes from a crystal field of ligands from a local neighborhood of magnetic centers [38].

The crucial step in our approach represents evaluation of the partition function, i.e. a sum over all possible spin configurations, what usually represents a formidable mathematical task in a highly cooperative spin system with many microscopical degrees of freedom. Fortunately, the problem connected with calculation of the partition function of decorated models can be simply avoided by the use of well-known trick, which consists in performing the summation over spin degrees of freedom of all decorating spins \( S_B \) and \( S_C \) before summing over spin degrees of freedom of the vertex spins \( S_A \). In doing so, the partition function can be partially factorized into the following product

\[
Z = \sum_{\{S_A^A\}}^{N} \prod_{j}^{N} W_B(S_j^A + S_j^{A2}) \prod_{k}^{N} W_C(S_k^A + S_k^{A2}),
\]

where the symbol \( \sum_{\{S_A^A\}} \) stands for the summation over all available spin configurations on the sublattice A and the first (second) product is performed over all decorating spins \( S_B \) (S_C) occupying the horizontal (vertical) bonds. Furthermore, the function \( W_i(x) \), which depends on two vertex spins \( S_i^A \) and \( S_i^{A2} \) from the sublattice A coupled indirectly via \( i \)th decorating spin, marks the expression

\[
W_i(x) = \sum_{n=-n_i}^{+n_i} \exp(\beta D_i n^2) \cosh(\beta J_i n x),
\]

where \( \beta = 1/(k_B T) \), \( k_B \) is being Boltzmann’s constant and \( T \) is the absolute temperature.

In order to proceed further with calculation, the generalized decoration-iteration mapping transformation [28] can be now employed

\[
W_i(S_i^A + S_i^{A2}) = A_i \exp(\beta R_i S_i^A S_i^{A2}), \quad \beta = B or C.
\]

The physical meaning of the mapping (4) is to remove all interaction parameters associated with one decorating spin \( S_B \) or \( S_C \) and to replace them by a new effective interaction \( (R_B \) or \( R_C) \) between the remaining vertex spins \( S_i^A \) and \( S_i^{A2} \). It is worthwhile to remark that a self-consistency condition of the mapping relation (4) unambiguously determines both unknown mapping parameters \( A_i \) and \( R_i \), since it must hold independently of the spin states of both vertex spins included in this transformation. As a matter of fact, the direct substitution of four possible spin combinations of two vertex spins \( S_i^A \) and \( S_i^{A2} \) indeed gives just two independent equations from the formula (4), which subsequently unambiguously determine the mapping parameters \( A_i \) and \( R_i \)

\[
A_i = \left[ W_i(1) W_i(0) \right]^{1/2}, \quad \beta = B or C, \quad \Omega = B or C,
\]

\[
\beta R_i = 2 \ln[W_i(1)/W_i(0)], \quad \beta = B or C.
\]

At this stage, let us substitute the transformation (4) into the expression (2) in order to gain the relation

\[
Z(\beta, J_{AB}, J_{AC}, D_B, D_C) = A_B^N A_C^N Z_0(\beta R_B, \beta R_C),
\]

which relates the partition function \( Z \) of the mixed-spin Ising model on the decorated lattice with the partition function \( Z_0 \) of the undecorated spin-1/2 Ising model on the anisotropic square (rectangular) lattice with two different nearest-neighbor couplings \( R_B \) and \( R_C \) in the horizontal and vertical directions, respectively. Nevertheless, it should be mentioned here that the mapping relation (4), between both the partition functions represents a central result of our calculation, since it formally completes an exact solution of the partition function \( Z \) with regard to the known exact result for the partition function \( Z_0 \) of the spin-1/2 Ising model on the rectangular lattice [2] given by the effective Hamiltonian

\[
\mathcal{H}_0 = -R_B \sum_{(i,j)} S_i^A S_j^A - R_C \sum_{(k,l)} S_k^C S_l^C
\]

Now, we turn to calculation of the sublattice magnetization. With the help of commonly used mapping theorems [39], one easily proves a validity of the following relation for the spontaneous magnetization \( m_A \) of the sublattice A

\[
m_A \equiv \langle S_i^A \rangle = \langle S_i^A \rangle_0 \equiv m_0,
\]

where the symbols \( \langle \ldots \rangle \) and \( \langle \ldots \rangle_0 \) denote canonical ensemble averaging performed within the decorated and its corresponding undecorated model system, respectively. Apparently, the sublattice magnetization \( m_A \) directly equals to the magnetization \( m_0 \) of the corresponding spin-1/2 Ising model on the rectangular lattice with the effective horizontal and vertical coupling constants given by the equation (6). When taking into account the Potts’s and Chang’s [40] exact result for the spontaneous magnetization of the spin-1/2 Ising model on the rectangular lattice, it is possible to write the following expression for the sublattice magnetization \( m_A \)

\[
m_A = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 - \sinh^{-2} \left( \frac{\beta R_B}{2} \right) \sinh^{-2} \left( \frac{\beta R_C}{2} \right) \right]^{1/2},
\]

or equivalently,

\[
m_A = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 - \frac{16 W_B^2(1) W_C^2(0) W_B^2(1) W_C^2(0)}{[W_B^2(1) - W_B^2(0)]^2 [W_C^2(1) - W_C^2(0)]^2} \right]^{1/2}
\]

Next, it is also of particular interest to derive exact expressions for the spontaneous magnetization of the sublattice B and C constituted by the higher decorating spins
$S_B$ and $S_C$, respectively. For this purpose, the exact Callen-Suzuki \cite{41} spin identity formulated generally for both kinds of decorating spins can be successfully utilized

$$m_\Omega = \langle S_\Omega^\Omega \rangle = \frac{\sum S_n S_n^0 \exp(-\beta H_i)}{\sum S_0 \exp(-\beta H_i)}, \quad \Omega = B \text{ or } C,$$

in which $\Omega = B$ or $C$ and the Hamiltonian $H_i$ labels such a part of the total Hamiltonian \cite{11}, which contains all interaction terms of one decorating spin $S_i^\Omega$. By making use of the mapping relation \cite{11} and the exact spin identity \cite{11}, it can be easily proved that the sublattice magnetization $m_B$ and $m_C$ satisfy the equality

$$m_\Omega = \langle F_\Omega(S_\Omega^\Omega + S_\Omega^A) \rangle, \quad \Omega = B \text{ or } C,$$

where the function $F_\Omega(x)$ is defined as follows

$$F_\Omega(x) = \sum_{n=-S_\Omega}^{S_\Omega} \frac{n \exp(\beta D_\Omega n^2) \sinh(\beta J_{A\Omega} n x)}{\sum_{n=-S_\Omega}^{S_\Omega} \exp(\beta D_\Omega n^2) \cosh(\beta J_{A\Omega} n x)}. \quad (13)$$

By employing the differential operator technique \cite{37} together with the exact van der Waerden identity \(\exp(a S^A_n) = \cosh(a/2) + 2 S^A_n \sinh(a/2)\) into the equation \cite{12}, one readily finds that both the sublattice magnetization $m_B$ and $m_C$ can be expressed solely as a function of the sublattice magnetization $m_A$, in fact,

$$m_\Omega = \langle \exp((S^A_n + S^A_\Omega) d/dx) \rangle F_\Omega(x) = 2m_A F_\Omega(1). \quad (14)$$

The above result completes an exact solution for the sublattice magnetization $m_B$ and $m_C$, in view of the formerly derived exact result \cite{9} or \cite{10} for the sublattice magnetization $m_A$.

Finally, let us turn our attention to the ground-state behavior of the model under investigation. It is quite obvious from the equation \cite{12} that all sublattice magnetization tend necessarily to zero if and only if the sublattice magnetization $m_\Omega$ goes to zero. Accordingly, the critical temperature can be located from the condition which is consistent with the Onsager’s critical condition for the spin-1/2 Ising model on the rectangular lattice \cite{2}

$$\sinh(\beta_c R_B/2) \sinh(\beta_c R_C/2) = 1, \quad (15)$$

where $\beta_c = 1/(k_B T_c)$ is being defined as the inverse critical temperature in energy units, $T_c$ denotes the critical temperature and the effective coupling constants $R_B$ and $R_C$ are given by the equation \cite{6}. It is also interesting to mention that the critical temperature can be obtained from the alternate condition, which is of course equivalent to the condition \cite{15}, but without referring to the effective coupling constants of the undecorated rectangular lattice. The expression \cite{10} for the sublattice magnetization $m_A$ indeed yields the following critical condition

$$\{[W_B^1(1)]^2 - [W_B^1(0)]^2\} \{[W_C^1(1)]^2 - [W_C^1(0)]^2\} = 4W_B^1 W_B^0 W_C^1 W_C^0. \quad (16)$$

The superscript in the aforementioned expressions means that the inverse critical temperature $\beta_c$ enters into the relevant expressions \cite{39} instead of $\beta$.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Before proceeding to a discussion of the most interesting results, let us make few remarks on a validity of the results to be obtained in the preceding section. Notice initially that the obtained results are rather general, actually, they hold regardless of whether ferromagnetic or antiferromagnetic interactions $J_{AB}$ and $J_{AC}$ are considered, irrespective of the value of the decorating spins ($S_B$ and $S_C$) and even both the single-ion anisotropy parameters $D_B$ and $D_C$ can be taken independently of each other. It is noteworthy, however, that several studies reported on previously have already involved some particular cases of the model under investigation. By imposing $S_B = S_C$, $J_{AB} = J_{AC}$ and $D_B = D_C$, for instance, our results reduce to those acquired for the mixed-spin Ising model on a symmetrically decorated square lattice adapted to study essential features of the ferrimagnetism \cite{21}. In the present paper, we will therefore restrict our attention only to the particular case with two different kinds of decorating spins $S_B \neq S_C$. More specifically, one of the two decorating spins (say $S_B$) is assumed to be integer valued, while the other one (say $S_C$) is anticipated to be half-odd-integer. For simplicity, another constraint introduced through an equality between the single-ion anisotropy terms $D_B = D_C = D$ will be supposed in order to reduce the number of free parameters involved in the model Hamiltonian \cite{11}. Other particular models in which both the decorating spins are integer or half-odd-integer, respectively, will be explored in the subsequent separate works \cite{42, 43}.

First, let us take a closer look at the ground-state behavior. In FIG. 2, the ground-state phase diagrams in the $D/J_{AB} - J_{AC}/J_{AB}$ plane are depicted for two particular models with $(S_A, S_B, S_C) = (1/2, 1, 3/2)$ and $(S_A, S_B, S_C) = (1/2, 2, 3/2)$. As one can see, the interaction parameters $J_{AB}$ and $J_{AC}$ energetically favor the highest spin state of the decorating spins $S_B$ and $S_C$, respectively. Contrary to this, the easy-plane single-ion anisotropy ($D < 0$) has a tendency to lower the spin states of the decorating atoms. An eventual spin arrangement is thus determined by a mutual competition between the exchange interactions and the single-ion anisotropy. It is quite obvious from FIG. 2 that there is considerable similarity between both the displayed phase diagrams. As a matter of fact, the only difference consists in the phase boundary that accompanies the transition $2 \leftrightarrow 1$ of the spin-$S_B$ atoms, which is of course missing in the first phase diagram. Finally, it is worthy to note the general condition allocating ground-state phase boundaries associated with the spin change $S^\Omega \leftrightarrow S^\Omega - 1$

$$\frac{D_{S, S^0, -1}}{J_{A\Omega}} = \frac{1}{1 - 2S^\Omega}, \quad \Omega = B \text{ or } C. \quad (17)$$
represents transitions between the ordered and disordered phases (for details see the text).

be defined in the legend characterize a change in the spin state that occurs at the displayed phase boundaries. Note that a different sectors of the phase diagram of the pure ferrimagnetic model with

Next, let us investigate in detail the effect of the single-ion anisotropy upon the critical behavior of the considered model system. For this purpose, we have plotted in FIG. 2 the finite-temperature phase diagram in a form of the critical temperature vs. single-ion anisotropy dependence for the two investigated spin systems. It should be pointed out that the unique solution of the critical condition (15) [or equivalently (16)] gives all the displayed phase boundaries, which consequently represent the lines of second-order (continuous) transitions between the spontaneously ordered phases and disordered (paramagnetic) phase. Furthermore, it is worth noticing that the interaction parameters $J_{AB}$ and $J_{AC}$ enter within the critical conditions (15) and (16) only into the arguments of even functions. In this regard, the depicted phase boundaries remain in force irrespective of a sign of the interaction parameters $J_{AB}$ and $J_{AC}$, what means that the same phase boundaries hold regardless of whether the pure ferrimagnetic, the mixed ferro-ferrimagnetic, or even the pure ferromagnetic system is considered.

The most striking finding resulting from the finite-temperature phase diagram shown in FIG. 3 is that the lines of phase transitions do not reach zero temperature at the boundary value of the single-ion anisotropy $D_{1,0}/J_{AB} = -1$, which is sufficiently strong to bring all the integer-valued decorating spins $S_B$ into their ’non-magnetic’ spin state $S_B^B = 0$. Namely, one would intuitively expect that all the phases with the ’non-magnetic’ decorating spins $S_B$ (i.e. the phases appearing in FIG. 2) in the parameter space where $D < D_{1,0}$ should be in fact disordered due to their ’quasi-1D’ character. In the zero-temperature limit, one actually finds that the effective coupling constant $\beta R_B$ substituting the integer-valued decorating spins $S_B$ tends to zero, however, the effective coupling constant $\beta R_C$ substituting the half-odd-integer decorating spins $S_C$ tends to infinity. In the consequence of that, the 2D decorated mixed-spin system behaves as the ’quasi-1D’ spin system, since it effectively splits into a set of the independent mixed spin-$(S_A, S_C)$ Ising chains. On the other hand, one should bear in mind that the system is spontaneously long-range ordered if and only if $\sinh(\beta R_B/2) \sinh(\beta R_C/2) > 1$, while it becomes disordered just as $\sinh(\beta R_B/2) \sinh(\beta R_C/2) < 1$ in accord with the critical condition (15). In the limit of zero temperature, it can be easily verified that

$$\lim_{T \to 0} \left[ \sinh \left( \frac{\beta R_B}{2} \right) \sinh \left( \frac{\beta R_C}{2} \right) \right] = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } D > -\frac{1}{2} J_{AB} - \frac{1}{2} J_{AC} \\ 0 & \text{if } D < -\frac{1}{2} J_{AB} - \frac{1}{2} J_{AC} \end{cases}$$

what means that the threshold single-ion anisotropy below which the system becomes disordered (at zero as well as any non-zero temperature) is given by the constraint $D_{a-d} = -\frac{1}{2} J_{AB} - \frac{1}{2} J_{AC}$. This non-trivial phase boundary, which cannot be obtained from simple energetic arguments, is depicted in the ground-state phase diagram (FIG. 2) as a hollow-square line. This result straightforwardly proves that all the phases appearing above this order-disorder line are at sufficiently low temperatures spontaneously long-range ordered in spite of their ’quasi-1D’ nature. In agreement with the aforementioned arguments, the non-zero critical temperatures to be observed in the parameter space where $D < D_{1,0}$

FIG. 2: Ground-state phase diagrams in the $D/J_{AB} - J_{AC}/J_{AB}$ plane for two particular spin cases with $(S_A, S_B, S_C) = (1/2, 1, 3/2)$ [FIG. 2(a)] and $(S_A, S_B, S_C) = (1/2, 2, 3/2)$ [FIG. 2(b)]. Round brackets indicate spin order to emerge within different sectors of the phase diagram of the pure ferrimagnetic model with $J_{AB} > 0$ and $J_{AC} > 0$. Different symbols be defined in the legend characterize a change in the spin state that occurs at the displayed phase boundaries.
symbols characterize the same spin transition as explained in the legend of the ground-state phase diagram shown in FIG. 2. Though all the spin manifest the order-disorder transition between the spin systems with \( S^B \neq 0 \) (see FIG. 3). In this respect, the part of second-order phase transition lines starting at \( D = -J_{AB} \) and terminating at \( D = -J_{AB} - \frac{1}{2} J_{AC} \) (cf. FIGs. 2 and 3) can be identified as the critical line at which the spontaneous long-range order of the ‘quasi-1D’ spin systems disappears.

In order to provide an independent check of the aforementioned scenario, the total and sublattice magnetization will be detailed analyzed for the decorated model with \( (S_A, S_B, S_C) = (1/2, 1, 3/2) \) (FIG. 3). Different symbols characterize the same spin transition as explained in the legend of the ground-state phase diagram shown in FIG. 2. Broken lines connecting different spin transitions are guides for eyes only.

manifest the order-disorder transition between the spontaneously ordered phase and the disordered phase even though all the spin-\( S_B \) atoms reside in the ground state of the ordered phase the ‘non-magnetic’ state \( S^B = 0 \) (see FIG. 2). In this respect, the part of second-order phase transition lines starting at \( D = -J_{AB} \) and terminating at \( D = -J_{AB} - \frac{1}{2} J_{AC} \) (cf. FIGs. 2 and 3) can be identified as the critical line at which the spontaneous long-range order of the ‘quasi-1D’ spin systems disappears.

In order to provide an independent check of the aforementioned scenario, the total and sublattice magnetization will be detailed analyzed for the decorated model with \( (S_A, S_B, S_C) = (1/2, 1, 3/2) \). Before starting our further analysis, it is worthwhile to mention that this spin system exhibits not only features of the models with other decorating spin values, as well. The total magnetization \( m_T = |m_A + m_B + m_C| \) reduced per one atom of the sublattice A is shown in FIG. 3 for the pure ferrimagnetic system with \( J_{AB} > 0 \), \( J_{AC} > 0 \) (FIG. 3a) and the mixed ferro-ferrimagnetic system with \( J_{AB} > 0 \), \( J_{AC} < 0 \) (FIG. 3b). Note that different line styles are used to distinguish between different spin orderings to emerge in the zero-temperature limit (ground state). Since the sign change in \( J_{AC} \) leads merely to the sign change in the sublattice magnetization \( m_C \) (\( m_C \) is an odd function of \( J_{AC} \)), all temperature variations of the total magnetization can be explained via the same temperature variations of the sublattice magnetization.

For this reason, we depict in FIG. 5 all three sublattice magnetization as a function of the temperature for those particular values of the single-ion anisotropy for which the most significant thermal variations of the total magnetization occur. It is quite clear from FIG. 5a) that a steep decrease in the total magnetization of the ferrimagnetic system to be observed at \( D/J_{AB} = -0.45 \) can be explained through the vigorous thermal excitations \( \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow \frac{3}{2} \) of the decorating spins \( S_C \), which can be clearly seen in the temperature dependence of the sublattice magnetization \( m_C \). On the other hand, the opposite thermal excitations \( \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow \frac{3}{2} \) are responsible for a rapid increase of the sublattice magnetization \( m_C \) [see for instance the case \( D/J_{AB} = -0.55 \) shown in FIG. 5b)], which in turn causes a gradual temperature-induced increase of the total magnetization. As far as the mixed ferro-ferrimagnetic system with \( J_{AB} > 0 \) and \( J_{AC} < 0 \) is concerned, the initial decrease in the total magnetization at \( D/J_{AB} = -0.45 \) can be again attributed to the vigorous thermal excitations \( \frac{3}{2} \rightarrow \frac{5}{2} \) of the decorating spins \( S_C \). However, it is easy to observe from FIG. 5a) that the thermal excitations of the decorating spins \( S_B \) overwhelm in the region of moderate temperatures (i.e. the sublattice magnetization \( m_B \) declines more rapidly with increasing temperature than the sublattice magnetization \( m_C \)), what consequently leads to a slight increase of the total magnetization in the mixed ferro-ferrimagnetic system (remember that the sublattice magnetization \( m_B \) is now oriented in opposite to the sublattice magnetization \( m_A \) and \( m_C \)). At \( D/J_{AB} = -0.55 \), the total magnetization of the ferro-ferrimagnetic system starts from zero because the sublattice magnetization \( m_B \) effectively cancels out both the sublattice magnetization \( m_A \) and \( m_C \). The observed temperature-induced increase of the total magnetization can be mainly related to the thermal excitations \( \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow \frac{3}{2} \) of the decorating spins \( S_C \), which are reflected in the temperature-induced increase of the sublattice magnetization \( m_C \).

Another notable temperature dependences of the total magnetization occur in the vicinity of the boundary
FIG. 4: Temperature dependences of the total magnetization for the decorated mixed-spin system with \((S_A, S_B, S_C) = (1/2, 1, 3/2)\) when the ratio \(|J_{AC}|/J_{AB} = 1.0\) is fixed and the single-ion anisotropy strength \(D/J_{AB}\) varies. FIG. 4(a) shows the situation in the pure ferrimagnetic system with the exchange constants \(J_{AB} > 0\) and \(J_{AC} > 0\), whereas FIG. 4(b) corresponds to the mixed ferro-ferrimagnetic system with \(J_{AB} > 0\) and \(J_{AC} < 0\). Different line styles correspond to different phases.

FIG. 5: The absolute value of the sublattice magnetization \(m_A\) (dotted lines), \(m_B\) (solid lines), and \(m_C\) (dashed lines) for \(|J_{AC}|/J_{AB} = 1.0\) and several values of the single-ion anisotropy parameter \(D/J_{AB}\) as \(-0.45\) [FIG. 5(a)], \(-0.55\) [FIG. 5(b)], \(-0.9\) [FIG. 5(c)], and \(-1.1\) [FIG. 5(d)].
value \( D_{1.0}/J_{AB} = -1 \) at which the decorating spins \( S_B \) change their spin state. As a result, the relatively sharp decrease of the sublattice magnetization \( m_B \) to be observed at \( D/J_{AB} = -0.9 \) leads in the ferrimagnetic system to the relevant decrease of the total magnetization [FIG. 4(a)], while in the mixed ferro-ferrimagnetic system [FIG. 4(b)] it is responsible for an effective increase of the total magnetization since the sublattice magnetization \( m_A \) and \( m_C \) are almost completely independent of temperature [FIG. 4(c)]. Last but not least, the most interesting temperature dependences of the total magnetization could be expected in the parameter space, where the 2D decorated mixed-spin system should effectively behave as the ‘quasi-1D’ system. FIG. 5(d) shows the sublattice magnetization for the particular value of single-ion anisotropy \( D/J_{AB} = -1.1 \), which is sufficiently strong to force all the integer-valued decorating spins \( S_B \) towards their ‘non-magnetic’ state \( S_B = 0 \) at zero temperature. As one can see from this figure, the sublattice magnetization \( m_B \) indeed starts from zero in agreement with our expectations and it is merely the effect of temperature that causes a steady rise of the sublattice magnetization \( m_B \) on behalf of promoting the \( 0 \rightarrow 1 \) excitations of the decorating spins \( S_B \). Altogether, it might be concluded that the shape of temperature dependence of the total magnetization is in the ferrimagnetic system almost entirely determined by the sublattice magnetization \( m_B \) (the sublattice magnetization \( m_A \) and \( m_C \) almost completely cancel out), while in the mixed ferro-ferrimagnetic system the rising magnetization \( m_B \) lowers the mutual contribution of the sublattice magnetization \( m_A \) and \( m_C \) to the total magnetization.

IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In the present article, the generalized decoration-iteration mapping transformation has been utilized to obtain an exact solution of the mixed spin-(\( S_A \), \( S_B \), \( S_C \)) Ising model on the decorated square lattice with the two different kinds of decorating spins \( S_B \) and \( S_C \) placed on its horizontal and vertical bonds, respectively. Within the framework of this exact method, the sought exact solution for the model under investigation has been attained by establishing a simple mapping relationship with the corresponding spin-1/2 Ising model on the anisotropic square (rectangular) lattice whose exact solution is known since Onsager’s pioneering work \cite{2}. In addition, the applied transformation method is of immense practical importance, since this method is rather general and it enables further interesting extensions. Actually, it is quite straightforward to extend the applied procedure to account for: (i) the interaction between the next-nearest-neighboring spins \( S_A \); (ii) the multi-spin interaction between the decorating spins and their nearest neighbors; (iii) the biaxial single-ion anisotropy acting on the decorating sites; (iv) other decorated lattices such as decorated honeycomb or triangular lattices with (two or even three) different decorating spins; (v) decorated lattices with two or more decorating spins per one bond; etc. It is noteworthy, moreover, that the applied method is in principle applicable also to 3D decorated lattices, but unfortunately we cannot present an exact solution of the 3D decorated models because of the unknown exact solution of the corresponding spin-1/2 Ising model on the 3D lattice (however, some results with a high numerical accuracy are available even for 3D lattices \cite{44}).

The most interesting result to emerge from the present study consists in providing an exact evidence for the spontaneous long-range order, which surprisingly appears in the 2D decorated spin system in spite of its ‘quasi-1D’ character. As a matter of fact, we have found a convincing evidence that the 2D decorated spin system remains spontaneously long-range ordered even if all the integer-valued decorating spins \( S_B \) are driven by a sufficiently strong (but not too strong) single-ion anisotropy towards their ‘non-magnetic’ state \( S_B = 0 \) and the system becomes ‘quasi-1D’ due to the effective splitting into a set of independent mixed spin-(\( S_A \), \( S_C \)) chains. This finding has obvious relevance to the understanding of the ‘quasi-1D’ spin systems prone to spontaneous long-range ordering below some critical temperature, which necessarily need not arise from interactions establishing 3D connectivity (3D magnetic lattice), but it may represent an inherent feature of the ‘quasi-1D’ system. It is worthwhile to remark that this outstanding feature cannot be found in the decorated spin system with both the half-odd-integer decorating spins \( S_B \) and \( S_C \) \cite{43}, while it might be found in the decorated spin system with both the integer-valued decorating spins \( S_B \) and \( S_C \) provided that they are coupled to the vertex spins \( S_A \) through two different exchange interactions \( J_{AB} \neq J_{AC} \), respectively \cite{42}. From this point of view, the decorated spin system with the integer and half-odd-integer decorating spins \( S_B \) and \( S_C \) exhibits the spontaneous ordering related to an appearance of the ‘quasi-1D’ spin system the most naturally and hence, this exactly solved model would be of major importance if some experimental realization of it would confirm an appearance of the spontaneous ordering (and consequently non-trivial criticality) notwithstanding of its ‘quasi-1D’ character.

Although the Ising model description may not be fully realistic for true magnetic materials, it is quite reasonable to expect that the exact solution of this simplified model illustrates many important vestiges of the real critical behavior. Besides, the exact solution may also bring other valuable insights into the thermodynamical properties (magnetization, entropy, specific heat) of real magnetic materials without being affected by any crude and/or uncontrollable approximations. According to this, the main stimulus for the study of the mixed spin-(\( S_A \), \( S_B \), \( S_C \)) Ising model on the anisotropically decorated square lattice can be viewed in connection with possible experimental realization of this remarkable and rather curious magnetic lattice. It is therefore of particular interest to mention that polymeric compounds,
which have the decorated square network assembly as the magnetic lattice, have been rather frequently prepared in an attempt to design novel bimetallic coordination compounds. The magnetic structure of the decorated square lattice is indeed peculiar to two numerous series of polymeric coordination compounds with the general formula \([\text{Ni(L)}_2\text{Fe(CN)6}X.n\text{H}_2\text{O}]_{15}^{15}\) and \(\text{A[Fe(L)]}_2\text{M}_A\text{(CN)}_6\text{nH}_2\text{O} (\text{MA} = \text{Fe, Mn, Cr, Co}; \text{MB} = \text{Mn, Fe})^{16}\). In the former series, the magnetic \(\text{Fe}^{3+} (S_A = 1/2)\) ions reside the square lattice sites and \(\text{Ni}^{3+} (S_B = 1)\) ions decorate each its bond, while in the latter series the high-spin \(\text{M}_A^{3+}\) ions like \(\text{Mn}^{3+} (S_B = 2)\) or \(\text{Fe}^{3+} (S_B = 5/2)\) occupy the decorating sites and the low-spin \(\text{M}_A^{3+}\) ions such as \(\text{Fe}^{3+} (S_A = 1/2), \text{Mn}^{3+} (S_A = 1)\), or \(\text{Cr}^{3+} (S_A = 3/2)\) reside the square lattice sites. Even though we are not aware of any trimetallic polymeric compound whose network assembly would consist of two different kinds of magnetic metal ions (decorating spins \(S_B \neq S_C\)) placed on the square net made up by the third magnetic metal ion (vertex spin \(S_A\)), the vast number of the bimetallic coordination compounds from the aforementioned series gives us hope that a targeted synthesis of such trimetallic compound (tailored from two structural derivatives with different decorating magnetic ions) could be successfully accomplished in the near future.
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